# **Threat Modeling in RPA-Based Systems**

#### Anastasiya Kurylets, Nikolaj Goranin

#### Department of Information Systems, Faculty of Fundamental Sciences, Vilnius Tech

### Introduction

Robotic process automation (RPA) is the use of scripts and specialized software to efficiently automate repetitive and routine tasks that are usually performed by company employees. As any new technology, RPA has a number of potential cybersecurity weaknesses, caused ether by fundamental logical mistakes in the approach, or by cyber-human mistakes made during the implementation, configuration and operation phases. It is important to have an extensive understanding of the related risks before RPA integration into enterprise IT infrastructure.

The main asset operated by RPA is confidential enterprise data. Data leakage and theft are the two main threats. The main risks associated with the integration of robotic process automation can be divided into two groups: Compliance risks and Operational risks.

**Root causes for RPA security risks:** 

- *Confidential data*, such as consumer data processed by RPA, can be accessed by attackers if appropriate security measures are not taken.
- Credentials for automating robotic processes are usually remain unchanged and unprotected. A cyber attacker can hijack them, use them to elevate privileges and quickly gain access to systems and data.
- RPA passwords are often exchanged in a plaintext. A cybercriminal can intercept these accounts and passwords, use them to elevate privileges and transfer data in other directions to gain access to confidential networks, software and data.
- Passwords can be extracted by malicious insiders or system administrators.

Classical risk management approach suggests that before finding the countermeasures it is necessary to identify the existing threats and risks. One of the methods for doing so is a threat modeling. The information security threat model is a description of existing threats, their categories, and the possibility of increasing the threat priority. The threat modeling tool is a key element of the development lifecycle of secure applications. It allows developers to identify and fix potential security issues at an early stage. Still, despite the RPA security topic importance and notoriety of threat modeling approach in cybersecurity not too much research is being done. In this research we present threat modeling for RPA case scenarios in the financial sector. Microsoft Threat Modeling Tool was used as a threat modeling tool. The construction of case models made it possible to identify

risks, the categories to which they belong, their description with the possibility of raising or lowering the priority of the threat, analyze trust boundaries for software robots on real samples.

## Threat Modelling in RPA Based Systems

Case name	Settlement with	Carrying out a	Bank reconciliation	Creating and tracking	Providing reports
	suppliers	transaction		data in a ledger	
Description	To pay with suppliers, you must create a request in «1C» "Payments with suppliers". Authentication in «1C» is carried out using the data of the robot. This is followed by work related to the use of the robot, it is necessary to verify the supplier's transactional data, check the balance of expenses, obtain permission from the expense manager - withdraw funds.	To conduct a transaction, the manager needs to create a request for this operation. From the side of the robot, the following set of actions takes place: it is necessary to verify the details for payment in the existing data warehouse, access the bank account for subsequent payment, adhering to the withdrawal policy, and obtain permission to pay.	To perform bank reconciliation on the part of the robot, you must perform the following tasks: send a request to the bank to receive a bank statement. In the log of records, track ongoing transactions by comparing them with a bank statement. After generating the report, submit it to the accountant.	The scope of the robot's responsibility includes access to ongoing transactions, the ability to work with a bank account, income and expenditure transactions, which leads to entering and changing data in the ledger, as well as generating a report based on the above operations.	Providing reports in the prescribed form involves interaction with several external sources, handling confidential data. In this case, the operations assigned to the robot are as follows: extracting information from a bank statement, managing transaction data, bank account information and other internal company data of a commercial nature.

Opportunity check in the Bitrix24 system.



🕘 Risk 🛛 probabi

General\_types\_co

Enforcing\_PAM\_Po

General\_class\_re

Intentional\_physic

integrity\_of\_report

Organization
Privileged\_credentia

🚈 🛑 Security

🔻 🔵 Control

🗝 🔵 🗩 🖉

Reduce

🔍 🖲 Retain

Transfer Property
Criticality

Redundancy

Sensitivity

🔍 🔵 Value Zero\_Trust\_princ
 Requirement

• PoLP Threats
Intentional\_non-particular

🕘 Natural

• Onintentio

Architecture

Credentials

🔵 general

Vulnerability

technology, it is necessary to carry out a **risk** assessment. To do this, it is proposed to create a threat model that will clearly demonstrate the possible risks of using this system: threats with their detailed description, the possibility of raising or lowering the risk priority. For example, change from high to low if we estimate that the damage caused is insignificant for the company.

However, defining countermeasures for **RPA-based systems is not an automatic** feature in existing modeling tools. It is necessary to analyze the types of threats in the proposed cases, comparing them with standard sets of information security threats. Determine the specifics of using RPA in systems, what types of threats it entails. Develop a list of countermeasures when applying RPA.

An analysis of the modeling of conducted cases on the use of RPA in the financial sector made it possible to determine that the most common type of attack is spoofing, due to the presence of a large amount of data exchange.

The above samples and scenarios show that the cybersecurity risks associated with implementing RPA are not much different from the traditional cybersecurity risks that are commonly encountered when working with other systems.

	Description: Intentional_non-physical		
	Equivalent To 🕀		
	Threats	?@×0	
	General class axioms 🕂		
ntrol	SubClass Of (Anonymous Ancestor)		
	Instances 🕂		
	generates_consistent_logs	<b>? @ X</b>	
	lack_of_access_control_to_the_RPA_environment	? @ X	
	lack_of_bot_password_management	? @ ×	
le	lack_of_responsibility_for_the_actions_of_bots	? @ X	
liar	Mistaken_RPA_Design		
irement	Mistaken_RPA_scenario		
	storing_passwords_for_robots_outside_the_central_repositor	ry ?@X	
ical	The_bot_does_not_have_its_own_credentials	?@×	
_	unencrypted_bot_data	?@×	
	Target for Key 🕂		
	Disjoint With 🛨		
	Disjoint Union Of 🕂		
5			

- impact of firm prestige on executive compensation. Journal of financial economics
- 3. Agrawal, V.A. Comparative Study on Information Security Risk Analysis Methods. JCP 2017, 12.1,
- 4. Lošonczi, P.; Nečas, P.; Naď, N. Risk management in information security. Journal of Management 2016, 1, 28.
- 5. Asatiani, A., Penttinen, E.: Turning robotic process automation into commercial success case. OpusCapita. J. Inf. Technol. Teach. Cases. 6, 67–74 (2016)
- 6. Gotthardt, M., Koivulaakso, D., Paksoy, O., Saramo, C., Martikainen, M., & Lehner, O. (2020). Current state and challenges in the implementation of smart robotic process automation in accounting and auditing. ACRN Journal of Finance and Risk Perspectives.
- 7. Chandler S., Power C., Fulton M., van Nueten N., Who minds the bots? Why organisations need to consider risks related to Robotic Process Automation. PricewaterhouseCoopers, London 2017

**13th Conference** Druskininkai, Lithuania, Hotel "Europa Royale" December 1 – 3, 2022 http://www.mii.vu.lt/DAMSS

# 'ILNIUS TECH